

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/655,871	09/06/2000	Atle Raestad	3842-3	9649
759	90 01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.,			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2134	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/21/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/655,871	RAESTAD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Y. Jung	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	NTION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status -	-						
2a) <u></u> □	Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matter					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) <u>7-22</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examing The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Λ#00b	val.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/655,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-22 are presented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

As to claims 7-22, Applicant has been persuasive as Applicant has successfully

argued that the second protocol, as handled by the claimed invention, is not taught or

suggested by the prior art.

Nevertheless, even after a careful consideration, claims 1-6 cannot be

considered to have the second protocol handling limitations that distinguish over the

prior art. Thus, the rejections of claims 1-6 are maintained.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the same bases as in the previous Office Actions.

As noted by Applicant in the latest Response, the statutes and the text of the rejections

can be found in the earlier Office Actions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-22 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2134

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the second

protocol, as handled by the claimed invention, is not taught or suggested by the prior

art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Or:

(571) 27<u>3</u>-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Greg Morse whose telephone number is (571) 272-3838.

David Jung

Patent Examiner

1/22/06